

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/618,560	07/10/2003	William K. Keener	LIT-PI-529D1	8242	
75	90 09/19/2005		EXAMINER		
Stephen R. Christian BBWI			STUCKER, JEFFREY J		
PO BOX 1625			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IDAHO FALLS, ID 83415-3899			1648		

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	
	Application No.

Com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/618,560	KEENER ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeffrey Stucker	1648					
·							
Jeffrey Stucker The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. □ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) □ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. b) □ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejecti							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See attached Advisory Action</u> . (See 37 CF)	, ,	jected claims.					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	i):		,				
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	·	·	-				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		in be chered and an					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily. 10. The affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	·						
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>3 August 2005</u> 13. ☐ Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/618,560

Art Unit: 1648

This Advisory Action is in response to the amendment filed 2 August 2005. Claims 1-12 are pending and under final rejection.

The Information Disclosure Statement filed 3 August 2005 has not been fully considered. This submission cites approximately 85 documents requiring a listing on an about 7 page PTO-1449. In an initial review of 19 U.S. patents and published applications, the Office finds that only 2 of these documents are material to patentability of one or more claims in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56. A review of the first 15 publications revealed only 2 deemed to be material to patentability. The order that the references were reviewed was the order in which they were in the electronic file, not the order listed on the 1449. In view of the very low percentage of references material to patentability in the sampled documents reviewed, the submission is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.56 and 1.98. Accordingly, the remaining references will not be considered. The foreign patent documents are not in English except the abstract of WO 97/01636.

The addition of the limitation to claim 1(ii) of "having a lectin or hydrophobic agent and further" is a new limitation

Art Unit: 1648

that was not previously in the N-X-A or A-X-N embodiment. This would require further consideration and search as it is a new limitation and changes the scope of the claims. It is the opinion of the Office that this limitation does not place the application in condition for allowance or even simplifying the remaining issues for appeal.

The rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention is maintained.

The rejection of claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Borgford (WO 97/41233) is maintained.

No claims are allowed.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

Application/Control Number: 10/618,560

Art Unit: 1648

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center representative whose telephone number is (571)-272-1600.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Stucker whose telephone number is (571)-272-0911. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Thursday from 7:00am-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel, can be reached on (571)-272-0902.

JEFFREY STUCKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4